

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,461	08/14/2006	Yukiko Inamoto	2006_1262A	7179	
513 WENDEROTI	7590 10/07/200 H, LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 2006-1021			CRUZ, KATHRIEN ANN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/589,461	INAMOTO ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
KATHRIEN CRUZ	1617			

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	KATHRIEN CRUZ	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If NO priority or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will orgon SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO priority of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will orgon SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, cause that application to boxone MARMONED (6) SLS (5) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au	igust 2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ▼ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent AP lication							
- a) NZ wwo warm our parcente protection (2) (1.10/90)(0)							

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/14/2006, 11/13/2006, 07/16/2008.

6) Other: ___



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAIL ACTION

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sirany (U.S. Patent 4,987,127, of record).

Sirany teaches a product and method for treating an outbreak of virus consisting of acetylsalicylic acid and water (abstract and claim 15). Sirany teaches that the epidermis or mucous membrane in the area becoming involved in the outbreak becomes itchy or burns. Sirany teaches that the paste which is comprised of acetylsalicylic acid and water is applied to the area of the skin about to be affected or being affected by the virus outbreak as a layer, preferably about 1.6 mm in thickness upon the skin or epidermis (column 4, lines 16-19) (external). Sirany teaches that examples of various types of herpes are herpes simplex or herpes zoster (responsible for the disease shingles) (column 1, 15-19). Sirany teaches applying a paste formed of acetyl-salicylic acid and water to the epidermis or mucous membrane proximal or

Application/Control Number: 10/589,461

Art Unit: 1617

immediately adjacent the body area involved or about to be involved in an outbreak (column 3, lines 8-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirany (U.S. Patent 4,987,127, of record).

Sirany teaches that a single tablet of about 325 milligrams (about 5 grains) of acetylsalicylic acid was crushed and mixed with about 0.40 ml of distilled water until a pasted was formed and applied to the affected area of herpes (column 4, lines 1-9).

The teaching of Sirany is not within in the range claimed in the instant application; however one skilled in the art would recognize the need for optimization is dependent upon the patient's age, weight, severity of illness and tolerance to

Art Unit: 1617

toxicity.

medication. As anyone of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate, preferred dosages are merely exemplary and serve as useful guideposts for the physician. There are, however, many reasons for varying dosages, including by orders of magnitude; for instance, an extremely heavy patient or one having an unusually severe infection would require a correspondingly higher dosage. Furthermore, it is routine during animal and clinical studies to dramatically vary dosage to obtain data on parameters such as

The specific safe and effective amount will be vary, with such factors as the particular condition being treated, the physical condition of the patient, the duration of treatment, the nature of the concurrent therapy (if any), the specific dosage form to be used, the carrier employed, the solubility of the formula therein and the dosage regimen desired for the composition.

For these reasons, the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art as represented by the cited reference. The claims are therefore, properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Conclusion

Claims 1-20 are rejected.

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1617

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHRIEN CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)270-5238. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 7:00am - 5:00pm with every Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,461 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

/JENNIFER M KIM/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617